



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/527,498	10/11/2005	Taliesin John Golesworthy	4393-120 US	5329
26817 7590 07/09/2008 MATHEWS, SHEPHERD, MCKAY, & BRUNEAU, P.A. 29 THANET ROAD, SUITE 201 PRINCETON, NJ 08540				
EXAMINER NGUYEN, TUAN VAN				
ART UNIT 3731		PAPER NUMBER		
NOTIFICATION DATE 07/09/2008		DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ATTORNEYS@MATHEWSLAW.COM

<p align="center"><b>Interview Summary</b></p>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/527,498		<b>Applicant(s)</b> GOLESWORTHY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> TUAN V. NGUYEN		<b>Art Unit</b> 3731	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) TUAN V. NGUYEN. (3) \_\_\_\_.
- (2) Diane Dunn McKay (Reg.No. 34,586). (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: June 30, 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: Prototype of the present application.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,3,5,27,32,34 and 35.

Identification of prior art discussed: Shirhan et al. (US 6648911) and D'Urso (US 6112109).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The disclosure in paragraph [0057] of the present application was discussed against Shirhan reference. Examiner asserted that Shirhan discloses the stent conforms morphologically to the contours of the affected artery and when applied effectively provides a clamped sleeve to support its exterior in substantially full contact therewith.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/T. V. N./

Examiner, Art Unit 3731

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.